

Remarks

Claims 9 and 10 have been amended to remove the so-called "±" signs in favor of "+" signs. The above amendments are made under the assumption that the amendments made in the Response dated April 18, 2008 have been officially entered into the file.

The Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner's helpful comments as set forth in the Advisory Action of April 28, 2008 as they apply to Claims 9 and 10 are now moot.

The Applicants also note the Examiner's comments with respect to Claim 8 being a duplicate of Claim 7. The Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 7 and 8 are not duplicates. In that regard, Claim 7 recites that at least 50% of the total Ti content in the steel sheet is precipitated in the form of the Ti base precipitates. On the other hand, Claim 8 recites that at least 50% of the total P content in the steel sheet is precipitated in the form of the Ti base precipitates. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully submit that the precipitates are based on completely different elemental contents - - - namely total Ti content in Claim 7 and total P content in Claim 8. Thus, the Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 7 and 8 are actually quite different.

The Applicants respectfully submit that in light of the foregoing and in light of the Applicants' amendments and remarks set forth in the April 18, 2008 Response, the entire Application is now in condition for allowance, which is respectfully requested. This Response is also filed with a Request for Continued Examination. Therefore, entry of both this Response and the April 18, 2008 Response into the official file is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



T. Daniel Christenbury
Reg. No. 31,750
Attorney for the Applicants

TDC/vbm
(215) 656-3381